United States Court of Appeals

For the C	eighth Circuit
No.	15-2874
United Stat	tes of America
-	Plaintiff - Appellee
	v.
Travis J	ames Eaton
D	efendant - Appellant
	d States District Court trict of Iowa - Ft. Dodge
Filed: Feb	February 2, 2016 ruary 5, 2016 ublished]
Before WOLLMAN, ARNOLD, and SN	MITH, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM.	
	r the district court ¹ denied him a sentence In declining to reduce Mr. Eaton's sentence,

¹The Honorable Linda R. Reade, Chief Judge, United States District Court for the Northern District of Iowa.

the district court found that a reduction was not warranted in light of his lengthy criminal history and the likelihood of recidivism. We conclude that there is no basis for reversal, as the district court's finding that a reduction was not warranted was not an abuse of discretion. See Dillon v. United States, 560 U.S. 817, 827 (2010) (§ 3582(c) authorizes district court to reduce sentence by applying amended Guidelines range as if it were in effect at time of original sentencing, and leaving all other Guidelines determinations intact as previously determined); United States v. Long, 757 F.3d 762, 763 (8th Cir. 2014) (de novo review of whether § 3582(c)(2) authorizes modification, and abuse-of-discretion review of decision whether to grant authorized § 3582(c)(2) modification); United States v. Curry, 584 F.3d 1102, 1103-05 (8th Cir. 2009) (district court did not abuse its discretion in declining to reduce defendant's sentence under § 3582(c)(2) due to defendant's criminal history). The judgment is affirmed, and counsel's motion to withdraw is granted.